

ABSTRACT

Sub: Public Servants – MA & UD Department – Allegation of possession of Disproportionate Assets against Sri P.Siddiramulu, former Bill Collector, Serilingampally – charged framed regular Enquiry ordered – further action dropped – orders issued- Reg.

Ref: 1. From the DG,ACB Lr.No.118/RCA-NZB/2005,dated 16.6.1998.  
2. Govt. Memo No.16532/L3/1998-1, dated 7.10.1998  
3. Charge Memo No.300/1997-A1,dated 31.10.1998 issued by RD-cum-AC of Municipal Administration, Hyderabad  
4. Proceedings of RD-cum- AC of Municipal Administration, Hyd Lr.ROC.No.300/1997/A1, dated 5.10.1999.  
5. Report of Inquiry Authority in Lr.No.A/2884/2001-1, dated 18.5.2001  
6. Govt. Memo No. 2644/vig.IV.2/2001-1, dated 24.6.2004  
7. From the RD-cum-AC of Municipal Administration, Hyd Lr No.300/1997/A1, dated 9.11.2005  
8. Proceedings of RD-cum-AC of Municipal Administration, Hyd Lr ROC No.300/1997/A1,dated 6.3.2007  
9. Govt. Memo No.2644/vig.IV.2/2001, dated 23.8.2008  
10. Report of RD-cum-AC of Municipal Administration, Hyd Roc No.300/1997/A1, dated 25.9.2008.

-----

The DG,ACB in his report 1<sup>st</sup> read above has brought to the notice of Govt. that Sri P.Siddiramulu, former Bill Collector of Serilingampally Municipality is possession of Disproportionate Assets worth Rs.1,79,178/- and recommended for initiation of departmental action for possessing of Disproportionate Assets and also for violation of APCS (Conduct) Rules 1964 for not obtaining prior permission for acquiring the plot, construction of house and purchase of Two Wheeler. On the instructions of Govt. in the reference 2<sup>nd</sup> read above, the Regional Director -cum- Appellate Commissioner of Municipal Administration, Hyderabad framed the following two charges against Sri P.Siddiramulu, former Bill Collector, Serilingampally Municipality in reference 3<sup>rd</sup> read above.

**Charge No.1:** That Sri P.Siddiramulu, possessed assets disproportionate to the known source income to a tune of Rs.1,79,178/- which is about 30.31 % of his total income.

**Charge No.2:** That Sri P.Siddiramulu, has purchased a house plot Bi,211 admeasuring of 311 Sq.yards in Doyans Colony, Serilingampally and constructed a house and also acquired a vehicle without obtaining prior permission from the competent authority and thus violated and contravened Rule of A.P.C.S. (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

In the reference 4<sup>th</sup> cited, the Regional Director -cum- Appellate Commissioner of Municipal Administration, Hyderabad appointed Sri M.Ravi Kumar, former Municipal Commissioner, Alwal as Inquiry Authority under rule 20(2) of APCS (CCA)Rules 1991. The Inquiry Authority in his report concluded that in view of the

facts and findings, there appear no willful Commission of any misconduct as alleged on the part of delinquent Sri P.Siddiramulu and therefore two charges do not sanction. The Regional Director -cum- Appellate Commissioner of Municipal Administration, Hyderabad who is the disciplinary authority has also requested the Govt. to consider the desirability of not pressing the above two charges and also to drop further action.

On examining the report of Inquiry Authority, the Govt. found that the enquiry was not conducted in association of ACB, and none of the witness were examined and no Presenting Officer was appointed etc, and remitted the case back to the Inquiry Authority under rule 21(1) of APCS (CCA) Rules 1991 with direction to submit his report as per the procedure prescribed under rule 20 of APCS (CCA) Rules 1991. As Sri M.Ravi Kumar, Inquiry Officer was on long leave and the subsequent Enquiry Officer Sri L.Rajpal retired from service on 30.04.2007, Sri R.Sambasiva Rao, Regional Director -cum- Appellate Commissioner of Municipal Administration, Hyderabad himself conducted enquiry into charges in Association with ACB Officials, examined witness and allowed Charged Officer to cross examine the witness and submitted his report to Govt. vide his Letter 9<sup>th</sup> read above. The Inquiry Authority in respect of charge-I calculated the Agriculture Income of the Accused Officer as per yield particles given by M.R.O. Medak and also by the Special Secretary Agriculture Market Committee Medak and concluded that the charge is not proved.

In respect of Charge-II, the Inquiry Officer, has reported that as per rule 9(1) and (2) of APCS (CCA) Rules 1991, the Charged Officer is not required to take permission from the Competent Authority since he was only NMR employee at that time and the Charged Officer should have sought rectification of his action in having purchased plot, construction of house and purchase of scooter after his absorption to regular service and held that the charge is partly proved.

The Govt. have carefully examined the report of Inquiry Authority and while accepting with his findings, they have decided to drop all further action against Sri P.Siddiramulu, former Bill Collector, Serilingampally Municipality and presently working as Junior Assistant in Kukatpally Circle of GHMC.

The Govt. accordingly drop all further action against Sri P.Siddiramulu, former Bill Collector, Serilingampally Municipality and presently working as Junior Assistant

in Kukatpally Circle of GHMC, on the charges framed vide reference 3<sup>rd</sup> read above  
i.e. in the Disproportionate Assets Case.

The CDMA/ Regional Director -cum- Appellate Commissioner of Municipal  
Administration, Hyderabad shall take further necessary action.

To

The individual through Regional Director -cum- Appellate Commissioner of Municipal  
Administration, Hyderabad

The Regional Director -cum- Appellate Commissioner of Municipal Administration,  
Hyderabad

The Commissioner and Director of Municipal Administration, Hyderabad

The Secretary, A.P. Vigilance Commission, Hyderabad

The Director General, Anti Corruption Bureau, Hyderabad

SC/SF